PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT (PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

REC'D 0 1 APR 2005

PWO041452		FOR FURTHER A	ACTION	See Notification Preliminary Exa	n of Transmittal of Interna amination Report (Form P	tional CT/IPEA/416)		
International application No. PCT/US 03/39945			9945	International filing date 16.12.2003		h/year)	Priority date (day/month 16.12.2002	vyear)
G06	nation 3F17		ent Classification (IPC) or bo	th national classification	and IPC			
		ERRA	LLC et al.					
1.	This Autl	s inter hority	national preliminary exan and is transmitted to the	nination report has be applicant according to	en prepare Article 36	ed by this Intere	national Preliminary Ex	caminin _. g
2.	This	REP	ORT consists of a total of	f 9 sheets, including t	this cover	sheet.		
	⊠	(see	report is also accompan n amended and are the b Rule 70.16 and Section	607 of the Administra	O/OF SDEET	s containing ro	otifiootiono modo bafaa	gs which have e this Authority
	The	se anı	nexes consist of a total of	12 sheets.				
3.	This	repor	t contains Indications rela	ating to the following it	tems:			
	ı	\boxtimes	Basis of the opinion					
	II		Priority			•		
	III		Non-establishment of or		ovelty, inv	entive step and	d industrial applicability	у
	IV V		Lack of unity of inventio					
	V		Reasoned statement un citations and explanatio	ider Rule 66.2(a)(ii) wi ns supporting such sta	ith regard atement	to novelty, inve	entive step or industrial	applicability;
	VI		Certain documents cited					
	VII		Certain defects in the in	ternational application	1			
	VIII		Certain observations on	the international appl	lication			
							•	
Date	of sub	missio	n of the demand		Date of co	ompletion of this	report	
15.0					31.03.2	005		
Name prelim	Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:				Authorize	d Officer		has Pateara
·	European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d				Wienold	, N		South Office of the state of th
		Fax:	+49 89 2399 - 4465	ор	Telephone	No. +49 89 239	9-2139	The state of the s

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

I. Basis of the report

International application No.

PCT/US 03/39945

•	I. W th ai	lith regard to the elements of e receiving Office in response nd are not annexed to this repo	the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed ort since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):
	De	escription, Pages	
	1-	20	as originally filed
	CI	aims, Numbers	•
	1-4	15	received on 18.01.2005 with letter of 18.01.2005
	Dr	awings, Sheets	•
	1/2	6-26/26	as originally filed
2.	Wi lan	th regard to the language, all guage in which the internation	the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the nal application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
			or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:
		the language of a translation	furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
		the language of publication of	of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
		the language of a translation Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).	furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under
3.	Wit inte	h regard to any nucleotide a n rnational preliminary examina	nd/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the tion was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:
		contained in the international	•
			tional application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this	s Authority in written form.
			s Authority in computer readable form.
		The statement that the subse in the international application	quently furnished written coguence listing de
		Tel	

The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence

listing has been furnished.

☐ the description,

the claims,

the drawings,

4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

pages:

Nos.:

sheets:

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US 03/39945

5. 🗀	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

Claims

No:

1-45

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-45

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-45

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

V.1 Prior Art

The following documents are referred to in this communication; the numbering will be adhered to in the rest of the procedure:

- D1: TYRRELL C J: "CUSTOM NETWORK DESIGN AND PRICING TOOLS" BT TECHNOLOGY JOURNAL, BT LABORATORIES, GB, vol. 18, no. 2, April 2000 (2000-04), pages 30-35, XP000958410 ISSN: 1358-3948
- D2: FIDDIAN N J ET AL: "A KNOWLEDGE PROCESSING SYSTEM FOR DATA SERVICE NETWORK DESIGN" BT TECHNOLOGY JOURNAL, BT LABORATORIES, GB, vol. 17, no. 4, October 1999 (1999-10), pages 117-130, XP000896269 ISSN: 1358-3948
- D3: FISHER M ET AL: "TECHNIQUES FOR AUTOMATED PLANNING OF ACCESS NETWORKS" BT TECHNOLOGY JOURNAL, BT LABORATORIES, GB, vol. 14, no. 2, 1 April 1996 (1996-04-01), pages 121-127, XP000584919 ISSN: 1358-3948
- D4: MATEUS G R ET AL: "Computer-aided tools for the telecommunication network design" INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON SOFTWARE IN TELECOMMUNICATIONS AND COMPUTER NETWORKS. SOFTCOM '98 UNIV. SPLIT SPLIT, CROATIA, 14 October 1998 (1998-10-14), pages 347-356, XP009036000 ISBN: 953-6114-29-1

D1 is considered to be the closest prior art for the remainder of this communication.

V.2 Objections under Article 33 PCT (Inventive Step)

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1 to 45 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

1. Document D1 discloses:

- i) "receiving a selection of customer sites"; see e.g. p. 31, right col., l. 21-23
- ii) "querying a database to determine geographical locations of the selected customer sites"; see e.g. p. 31, right col., l. 24-25
- iii) "rendering, in a graphical user interface, representations of the selected customer sites in a map at the geographical location of the selected sites in the map"; see e.g. p. 31, right col., l. 23; fig. 2
- iv) "querying the database to determine network infrastructure and geographical locations of the determined network infrastructure"; see e.g. section 3, especially p. 31, right col., I. 28-p.32, I.1; figs. 1 and 3; e.g. the POP locator component;
 - D1 discloses that the design engines calculate the best network infrastructure for the services selected, e.g. suitable POPs, link aggregations, etc. Therefore, D1 discloses that network infrastructure is determined. Furthermore, POP locations are calculated. Therefore, the geographical location of the determined network infrastructure is also determined. From fig. 1 can be seen that the LAN/WAN design engine uses product and service expert knowledge bases. Therefore, the use of a database for determining the network infrastructure (which includes calculating an optimal network infrastructure) is also disclosed by D1.
- v) "rendering representations of the determined network infrastructure in a map at the determined geographical locations of the determined network infrastructure to render a visualization of the geographical locations of the selected customer sites and network infrastructure of the selected at least one NSP in the map.; see e.g. p. 31, right col., I. 28 32;
 - POP locations are plotted on the map which already contains the representations of the customer locations. Additionally, fig. 2 shows an example where customer site location data is rendered together with determined physical network links which are part of the existing network infrastructure of the network provider.
- 2. The subject-matter of claim 1 differs in that 'a selection of at least one network

service provider (NSP) is received'.

- 3. The **problem to be solved** by the present invention may therefore be regarded as 'how to apply the network design method of D1 to different network service providers'.
- 4. The **solution** proposed in claim 1 of the present application cannot be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons.

The method as disclosed in D1 requires that at least one service network provider is known to the design method. If this method should be applied to different NSPs than it is obvious that a selection between the NSPs has to be done so that the network design method can be applied upon the selected NSPs.

Furthermore, if information about the infrastructure of the NSPs is required than it is obvious that the network design method will query and use the network information describing the infrastructure of the selected NSPs.

Therefore, the inclusion of the feature of receiving a selection of at least one NSPs into the design method disclosed in document D1 is regarded as being obvious for a person skilled in the art.

- The same argumentation as for claim 1 is valid, mutatis mutandis, for independent claims 16 and 31.
- 6. The following **dependent claims** do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of **inventive step**, the reasons being as follows:
 - A) claims: 2, 4, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 20, 22, 26, 28, 32, 34, 35, 37, 41 and 43: see figs. 2 and 3; p. 31, right col. I. 21 to p. 32 right col., I. 9;
 - i) The features of the above cited claims are either disclosed by D1 (see e.g. p. 31, right col., l. 21 to p. 32, right col. l. 13; figs. 2 and 3 or regarded as standard features which are known to a person skilled in the art so that the inclusion of

such features would be obvious for the skilled person.

ii) D1 discloses (on p. 31, I. 25 to 27) that CPE information is added to the GUI map as part of the definition process of defining the customer requirements. D1 does not disclose in detail how these customer requirements are entered into the system and in what detail customer information is displayed on the user interface.

But a person skilled in the would know that customer requirement information has to be entered into the system via an interface and that the level of detail of displayed information depends on the specific network design for the customer. The use of interactive user interfaces for adapting the displayed information to the users needs is well known in the art. Therefore, the possibility to select displayed information (e.g. rendered customer sites) to allow the display of more detailed information in addition to the already displayed information is regarded as being well known to the skilled person.

The skilled person would therefore regard it as a normal design option to include this feature into the design method disclosed in D1.

B) claims: 3, 6, 8-10, 12, 14-15, 18, 21, 23-25, 27, 29-30, 33, 36, 38-40, 42, 44-45 these claims contain features of:

- displaying network design data superimposed over maps (cl. 3, 12, 18, 27, 33, 42),
- b) using visual means for distinguishing between network elements which satisfy or do not satisfy given criteria (cl. 6, 9, 21, 24, 36, 39),
- c) using a buffer region for determining network infrastructure and displaying it on a map (cl. 8, 14, 23, 29, 38, 44),
- d) generating a report for the network design data including distance data (cl. 10, 15, 25, 30, 40, 45).

with reference to a)

The use of maps with superimposed network design data is disclosed in D1 (see e.g. p. 31, right col., I. 21 to p. 32, right col. I. 13; figs. 2 and 3). D1 does not disclose in fig. 2 the display of transportation corridors. But if the technical problem

to be solved is to provide more detailed information on the maps then to use of finer grained maps with the required level of detail (e.g. information about transportation corridors) would be obvious for the person skilled in the art.

Alternatively, documents D3 (e.g. figs. 2, 3 and 4) and D4 explicitly disclose the use of graphical information about transportation corridors in combination with rendered customer sites and network infrastructure for the purpose of designing a network. The skilled person would therefore regard it as a normal design option to include this feature in the system and method described in document D1 in order to solve the problem posed.

with reference to b)

The use of visual or graphical means within GUIs to distinguish suitable information from unsuitable information is well known in the art.

with reference to c)

The use of buffer regions for determining network infrastructure is disclosed in D2 (see e.g. p. 119 to 123, sections 2 and 3; fig. 3 a)). Fig. 3 a) shows the GUI for the BT user agent for querying POP information which provides the possibility of entering the radial distance (which is equal to the definition of a buffer region) for determining POPs which are suitable or unsuitable (e.g. being outside of the specified geographical radius), see e.g. p. 123, paragraph 'Querying'. Suitable POPs are displayed to the user, ranked in order of proximity to the customer site.

D2 does not disclose that the retrieved POPs are rendered into a map in combination with the customer sites. But in combination with D1, which already renders POP information together with customer site information in a map, it is obvious for a person skilled in the art to combine the rendered map of D1 with the POP information provided by the method and system of D2.

Additionally, rendering the POP information of D2 into a map instead of displaying the POP information as a list as in D2 is regarded as a well-known alternative method for displaying geo-coded information.

Furthermore, documents D3 and D4 also teach the use of urban maps for

designing networks so that the spatial arrangement between the elements can be seen. In particular, network infrastructure and POP information is displayed on the maps, see e.g. D4, fig. 3 and p. 353, paragraph about the CONET system.

with reference to d)

The generation of reports containing network design data is disclosed in D1 (see e.g. p. 32, right col., l. 1 to 13).

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1	1. A method, comprising:
2	receiving a selection of customer sites;
3	querying a database to determine geographical locations of the selected network
4	customer sites;
5	rendering, in a graphical user interface, representations of the selected customer
6	sites in a map at the geographical location of the selected sites in the map;
7	receiving selection of at least one network service provider (NSP);
8	querying the database to determine network infrastructure of the selected NSP and
9	geographical locations of the determined network infrastructure; and
10	rendering representations of the determined network infrastructure in a map at the
11	determined geographical locations of the determined network infrastructure to render a
12	visualization of the geographical locations of the selected customer sites and network
13	infrastructure of the selected at least one NSP in the map.

- 1 2. The method of claim 1, wherein the determined network infrastructure 2 comprises at least one of a switch and a network path, and wherein the network 3 infrastructure geographical location comprises at least one of a switch site location and a 4 route of the network path.
- 1 3. The method of claim 1, wherein the map comprises a street map, and
 wherein the rendered map visualizes transportation corridors, and wherein the rendered
 customer sites and network infrastructure are visualized superimposed over rendered
 transportation corridors in the street map.
- 4. The method of claim 1, further comprising:
 receiving user selection of one rendered customer site;
 querying the database to determine information on the selected customer site; and
 rendering the determined information on the selected customer site in a dialog
 box.

2 3 4	querying connection information in the database to determine connections between the rendered customer sites; and rendering connections between the customer sites in the map to visualize the determined connections.
	between the rendered customer sites; and rendering connections between the customer sites in the map to visualize the
4	
5	determined connections.
1	6. The method of claim 5, further comprising:
2	receiving a query including search criteria with respect to a parameter concerning
3	network connectivity at the customer sites;
4	querying the database to determine connections between customer sites having
5	network connectivity information satisfying the search criteria included with the query;
6	and
7	rendering the determined connections in a different visual manner than those
8	connections that do not satisfy the search criteria.
1	7. The method of claim 5, wherein the connection information includes
2	information on at least one of connected sites, connection bandwidth, and connection
3	circuit types.
1	8. The method of claim 1, further comprising:
2	receiving a definition of a buffer region with respect to a selected customer site;
3	querying the database to determine NSP network infrastructure located within the
4	defined buffer region;
5	rendering the buffer region around the rendering of the selected customer site in
6	the map; and
7	rendering the determined NSP network infrastructure within the defined buffer
8	region in the map.
1	9. The method of claim 8, wherein NSP network infrastructure rendered
2	within the defined buffer region is rendered differently than NSP network infrastructure
3	rendered outside of the buffer region.

1	10. The method of claim 8, further comprising:
2	generating a report identifying at least one of: the network infrastructure located
3	within the buffer region, the NSP managing the identified network infrastructure, and a
4	distance of the identified network infrastructure from the selected customer site for which
5	the buffer region is defined.
1	11. The method of claim 1, wherein the network infrastructure includes
2	network switches and network paths, wherein rendering the representations of the
. 3	determined network infrastructure comprises rendering representations of the determined
4	switches in the map, further comprising:
5	querying the database to determine network paths between the network switches
6	rendered in the map; and
7	rendering the network paths between the network switches in the map.
1	12. The method of claim 11, wherein the map comprises a street map, and
2	wherein the network paths are rendered superimposed over transportation corridors
3	rendered on the map.
1	13. The method of claim 11, further comprising:
2	receiving user selection of a proposed path between the customer site and one
3	network switch;
4	rendering the proposed path in the map; and
5	generating and rendering information on the proposed path in the map, including
6	information on the distance of the proposed path.
1	14. The method of claim 1, further comprising:
2	receiving selection of a plurality of customer sites rendered in the map;
3	receiving a definition of parameters of a buffer region with respect to the selected
4	customer sites;
5	determining buffer regions for each of the selected customer sites satisfying the
6	defined parameters for the buffer region;

7	querying the database to determine NSP network infrastructure located within
8	each determined buffer region;
9	rendering each determined buffer region around each selected customer site in the
10	map; and
11	rendering the determined NSP network infrastructure within each defined buffer
12	region in the map.
13	
1	15. The method of claim 14, further comprising:
2	generating a report identifying at least one of: the network infrastructure located
3	within the determined buffer regions; the NSPs managing the identified network
4	infrastructure within the determined buffer regions; and, for each selected customer site, a
5	distance of the identified network infrastructure from the selected customer site within
6	the buffer region for the selected customer site.
1	16. A system, comprising:
2	a processor;
3	an output device in communication with the processor;
4	code executed by the processor to cause the processor to perform:
5	(i) receiving a selection of customer sites;
6	(ii) querying a database to determine geographical locations of the selected
7	network - <u>customer</u> sites;
8	(iii) rendering, in a graphical user interface, representations of the selected
9	customer sites in a map at the geographical location of the selected sites in the
10-	map;
11	(iv) receiving selection of at least one network service provider (NSP);
12	(v) querying the database to determine network infrastructure of the
13	selected NSP and geographical locations of the determined network
14	infrastructure; and
15	(vi) rendering representations of the determined network infrastructure in
16	a map at the determined geographical locations of the determined network

17	infrastructure to render a visualization of the geographical locations of the		
18	selected customer sites and network infrastructure of the selected at least one N		
19	in the map.		
1	17. The system of claim 16, wherein the determined network infrastructure		
2	comprises at least one of a switch and a network path, and wherein the network		
3	infrastructure geographical location comprises at least one of a switch site location and a		
4	route of the network path.		
1	18. The system of claim 16, wherein the map comprises a street map, and		
2	wherein the rendered map visualizes transportation corridors, and wherein the rendered		
3	customer sites and network infrastructure are visualized superimposed over rendered		
4	transportation corridors in the street map.		
1	19. The system of claim 16, wherein the code further causes the processor to		
2	perform:		
3	receiving user selection of one rendered customer site;		
4	querying the database to determine information on the selected customer site; and		
5	rendering the determined information on the selected customer site in a dialog		
6	box.		
1	20. The system of claim 16, wherein the code further causes the processor to		
2	perform:		
3	querying connection information in the database to determine connections		
4	between the rendered customer sites; and		
5	rendering connections between the customer sites in the map to visualize the		
6	determined connections.		
1	21. The system of claim 20, wherein the code further causes the processor to		
2	perform:		

3	receiving a query including search criteria with respect to a parameter concerning
4	network connectivity at the customer sites;
5	querying the database to determine connections between customer sites having
6	network connectivity information satisfying the search criteria included with the query;
7	and
8	rendering the determined connections in a different visual manner than those
9	connections that do not satisfy the search criteria.
1	22. The system of claim 16, wherein the connection information includes
.2	information on at least one of connected sites, connection bandwidth, and connection
3	circuit types.
1	23. The system of claim 16, wherein the code further causes the processor to
2	perform:
3	receiving a definition of a buffer region with respect to a selected customer site;
4	querying the database to determine NSP network infrastructure located within the
5	defined buffer region;
6	rendering the buffer region around the rendering of the selected customer site in
7	the map; and
8	rendering the determined NSP network infrastructure within the defined buffer
9	region in the map.
1	24. The system of claim 23, wherein NSP network infrastructure rendered
2	within the defined buffer region is rendered differently than NSP network infrastructure
3	rendered outside of the buffer region.
•	
1	The system of claim 24, wherein the code further causes the processor to
2	perform:
3	generating a report identifying at least one of: the network infrastructure located
4	within the buffer region, the NSP managing the identified network infrastructure, and a

7

defined parameters for the buffer region;

5	distance of the identified network infrastructure from the selected customer site for which
6	the buffer region is defined.
	•
1	26. The system of claim 16, wherein the network infrastructure includes
2	network switches and network paths, wherein rendering the representations of the
3	determined network infrastructure comprises rendering representations of the determined
4	switches in the map, and wherein the code further causes the processor to perform:
5	querying the database to determine network paths between the network switches
6	rendered in the map; and
7	rendering the network paths between the network switches in the map.
1	27. The system of claim 26, wherein the map comprises a street map, and
2	wherein the network paths are rendered superimposed over transportation corridors
3	rendered on the map.
1	28. The system of claim 26, wherein the code further causes the processor to
2	perform:
3	receiving user selection of a proposed path between the customer site and one
4 ·	network switch;
5	rendering the proposed path in the map; and
6	generating and rendering information on the proposed path in the map, including
7	information on the distance of the proposed path.
1	29. The system of claim 16, wherein the code further causes the processor to
2	perform:
3	receiving selection of a plurality of customer sites rendered in the map;
4	receiving a definition of parameters of a buffer region with respect to the selected
5	customer sites;
5	determining buffer regions for each of the selected customer sites satisfying the

8	querying the database to determine NSP network infrastructure located within		
9	each determined buffer region;		
10	rendering each determined buffer region around each selected customer site in the		
11.	map; and		
12	rendering the determined NSP network infrastructure within each defined buffer		
13	region in the map.		
14			
1	30. The system of claim 16, wherein the code further causes the processor to		
2	perform:		
3	generating a report identifying at least one of: the network infrastructure located		
4	within the determined buffer regions; the NSPs managing the identified network		
5	infrastructure within the determined buffer regions; and, for each selected customer site, a		
6	distance of the identified network infrastructure from the selected customer site within		
7	the buffer region for the selected customer site.		
_			
1	31. An article of manufacture for causing operations to be performed, wherein		
2	the operations comprise:		
3	receiving a selection of customer sites;		
4	querying a database to determine geographical locations of the selected network		
5	customer sites;		
6	rendering, in a graphical user interface, representations of the selected customer		
7	sites in a map at the geographical location of the selected sites in the map;		
8	receiving selection of at least one network service provider (NSP);		
9	querying the database to determine network infrastructure of the selected NSP and		
10	geographical locations of the determined network infrastructure; and		
11	rendering representations of the determined network infrastructure in a map at the		
12	determined geographical locations of the determined network infrastructure to render a		
13	visualization of the geographical locations of the selected customer sites and network		
14	infrastructure of the selected at least one NSP in the map.		

1	32. The article of manufacture of claim 31, wherein the determined network		
2	infrastructure comprises at least one of a switch and a network path, and wherein the		
3	network infrastructure geographical location comprises at least one of a switch site		
4	location and a route of the network path.		
1	33. The article of manufacture of claim 31, wherein the map comprises a		
2	street map, and wherein the rendered map visualizes transportation corridors, and		
3	wherein the rendered customer sites and network infrastructure are visualized		
4	superimposed over rendered transportation corridors in the street map.		
1	34. The article of manufacture of claim 31, wherein the operations further		
2	comprise:		
3	receiving user selection of one rendered customer site;		
4	querying the database to determine information on the selected customer site; and		
5	rendering the determined information on the selected customer site in a dialog		
6	box.		
1	35. The article of manufacture of claim 31, wherein the operations further		
2	comprise:		
3	querying connection information in the database to determine connections		
4	between the rendered customer sites; and		
5	rendering connections between the customer sites in the map to visualize the		
6	determined connections.		
1	36. The article of manufacture of claim 35, wherein the operations further		
2	comprise:		
3	receiving a query including search criteria with respect to a parameter concerning		
4	network connectivity at the customer sites;		
5	querying the database to determine connections between customer sites having		
6	network connectivity information satisfying the search criteria included with the query;		
7	and		

8	rendering the determined connections in a different visual manner than those		
9	connections that do not satisfy the search criteria.		
1	37. The article of manufacture of claim 35, wherein the connection		
2	information includes information on at least one of connected sites, connection		
3	3 bandwidth, and connection circuit types.		
_			
1	38. The article of manufacture of claim 31, wherein the operations further		
2	comprise:		
3	receiving a definition of a buffer region with respect to a selected customer site;		
4	querying the database to determine NSP network infrastructure located within the		
5	defined buffer region;		
6	rendering the buffer region around the rendering of the selected customer site in		
7	the map; and		
8	rendering the determined NSP network infrastructure within the defined buffer		
9	region in the map.		
1	39. The article of manufacture of claim 38, wherein NSP network		
	of ordinary of wholem that network		
2	infrastructure rendered within the defined buffer region is rendered differently than NSP		
3	network infrastructure rendered outside of the buffer region.		
1	40. The article of manufacture of claim 38, wherein the operations further		
2	comprise:		
3	generating a report identifying at least one of: the network infrastructure located		
4	within the buffer region, the NSP managing the identified network infrastructure, and a		
5	distance of the identified network infrastructure from the selected customer site for which		
6	the buffer region is defined.		
1	41. The article of manufacture of claim 31, wherein the network infrastructure		
2	includes network switches and network paths, wherein rendering the representations of		

3	the determined network infrastructure comprises rendering representations of the		
4			
5	querying the database to determine network paths between the network switches		
6			
7	rendering the network paths between the network switches in the map.		
1	42. The article of manufacture of claim 41, wherein the map comprises a		
2	street map, and wherein the network paths are rendered superimposed over transportation		
3	corridors rendered on the map.		
1	43. The article of manufacture of claim 41, wherein the operations further		
2	comprise:		
3	receiving user selection of a proposed path between the customer site and one		
4	network switch;		
5	rendering the proposed path in the map; and		
6	generating and rendering information on the proposed path in the map, including		
7	information on the distance of the proposed path.		
1	44. The article of manufacture of claim 31, wherein the operations further		
2	comprise:		
3	receiving selection of a plurality of customer sites rendered in the map;		
4	receiving a definition of parameters of a buffer region with respect to the selected		
5	customer sites;		
6	determining buffer regions for each of the selected customer sites satisfying the		
7	defined parameters for the buffer region;		
8	querying the database to determine NSP network infrastructure located within		
9	each determined buffer region;		
0	rendering each determined buffer region around each selected customer site in the		
1	map; and		
.2	rendering the determined NSP network infrastructure within each defined buffer		
3	region in the map.		

6 7

45.	The article of manufacture of claim 44, wherein the operations further	
comprise:	• •	
gener	ating a report identifying at least one of: the network infrastructure located	
within the determined buffer regions; the NSPs managing the identified network		
infrastructure	within the determined buffer regions; and, for each selected customer site, a	
	e identified network infrastructure from the selected customer site within	
the buffer reg	ion for the selected customer site	